PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY DEMPSTER, Benjamin, John, Naftel Withers & Rogers WRITTEN OPINION 4 Dyers Buildings Holbom (PCT Rule 66) London EC1N 2JT **GRANDE BRETAGNE** Date of mailing 0 2, 11, 98 (day/month/year) REPLY DUE within 2 month(s) Applicants or agent's file reference from the above date of mailing **BD/EN/5-8** International filing date (day/month/year) International application no. Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB97/02940 27/10/1997 28/10/1996 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F04D19/00 Applicant ELTA FANS LTD et al. 1. This written opinion is the second drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion 11 Priority III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application \boxtimes Certain observations on the international application VIII 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to How? Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments; see Rule 66.4. Also: For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and / or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according t Rule 69.2 is: 28/02/1999 Name and mailing address of the international Authorized officer / Examiner preliminary examining authority Lord, G European Patent Office

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)

Murphy-Minehane, B

Telephone No. (+49-89) 2399-2753

Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

1	Ras	ie	Ωf	the	OD	ini	on
••	Cus		٠.		٧٢		···

1.	This opinion has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office
	in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".):

	Description, pages:								
	1,2,5,6	as originally filed	1						
	3,4	as received on		23/10/1998	with letter of	20/10/1998			
	Claims, No.:								
	1-11	as received on	٠	23/10/1998	with letter of	20/10/1998			
	Drawings, sheets:								
	1/1	as originally filed	i						
2.	The amendments hav	e resulted in the c	ancellation of:						
	☐ the description,	pages:							
	☐ the claims.	Nos.:							
	☐ the drawings.	sheets:							
3.	 This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have bee considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)): 								
4.	Additional observations, if necessary:								
						•			
V.	. Reasoned statemen applicability; citatio					industrial			
1.	Statement								
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11 Yes						
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-11 Yes						
	Industrial applicability	(IA) Claims	1-11 Yes						

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

sea separate sheet

Section V

- 1. The invention is an axial or mixed flow fan.
- 2. The nearest prior art document is FR-A-1 513 039, which shows a fan of this kind.
- 3. The object of the invention is to improve the power consumption characteristic of the fan.
- 4. This is achieved, according to claim 1, by a particular shape of blade. The shape defined is not disclosed in the prior art and the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT are, therefore, fulfilled.
- 5. The dependent claims define further embodiments of the invention of claim 1, and the subject matter of these claims therefore also meets the requirements of Article **33**(1) PCT.

Section VII

- To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, at least the document mentioned above should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.
- 7. To meet the requirements of Rule 6.3(b) PCT independent claim 1 should be cast in the two part form, with those features which in combination are part of the prior art being placed in the preamble.
- Reference signs in parentheses should be inserted in the claims to increase their 8. intelligibility, Rule 6.2(b) PCT.